



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/354,802	07/16/1999	RICHARD MARC LIBMAN	2176.0010003	5598

26111 7590 07/18/2003

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application 09/354802	Applicant(s) Libman	
	Examiner Akers, G	Art Unit 3624	Confirmation No.

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/6/03
- ☒ This action is FINAL. ☐ This action is non-final.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-21, 23-31, 33-35 is/are pending in this application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-21, 23-31, 33-35 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved or ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are ☐ accepted or ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) or (f).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *Certified copies not received:
- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 20
- ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is issued in response to applicant's Amendment C(Paper #19) filed 6/6/03.
2. Claims 1,3,5,7,10,12-14,16-18,21,25-28,31,35-39,43,45,47,49 were amended. Claims 11,22,32 were cancelled. New claims 51-315 were added.
3. Claims 1-10,12-21,23-31,33-315 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5.(AMENDED) Independent claims 1-3,36,38,43,47,49 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Tobin(US Pat. No: 6,141,666) in view of Clark(US Pat. No:5,710,889) in view of Randle(US Pat. No: 5,787,403) and further in view of Horowitz(US Pat. No: 6,349,290).
- 6.(AMENDED) As per claims 1-3,36,38,43,47,49,51-315 Tobin teaches a method for automatically preparing a customized reply to each response communication from a plurality of clients(Abstract)(col 2 line 57-col 3 line 62)(col 5 line 1-col 6 line 19) where each response labeled to correspond to a labeled communication set to each of the plurality of clients(Fig 8)(col 8 line 49-54) where the method comprises receiving a plurality of responses where each response comprises a unique label to identify each response as coming from a particular client(col 9 line

Art Unit: 3624

52-col 10 line 7) and each response comprises a non purchase response option information (Fig 7)(col 8 lines 25-40)(col 7 lines 12-31)(Fig 1C/114)(Fig 7/114) and inputting the response option information and corresponding client identification into an automated reply generation system(Fig 7/708) and preparing a reply specific to each response of the plurality of responses using the automated reply generation system where each reply comprises a label corresponding to the unique label of its corresponding response(Fig 7/115) and delivering prepared replies to the clients.Clark teaches providing a diversity of financial services to consumers(Abstract)(Fig 15) as requested by the customer(Fig 16) as well as individualized selections(Fig 17)(Fig 18) as well as customized content in selections(Fig 19) as well as the user selecting criteria(Fig 27) and customized reports to clients(Fig 28).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark to teach part of the above. The motivation to combine is to teach an electronic delivery system that provides a customer access to a variety of financial services as enunciated by Clark(col 2 lines 36-39). Randle teaches a banking services platform that enables a financial services institution to provide new services, as access to brokerage investmernt and information, commercial banking and loan reviews and applications and selections as well as other financial products from other institutions(col 4 lines 38-58) as well as the ability of the financial institution to create customized and unique products particular to the institution available as products to the customers of the institution(col 5 lines 32-38).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark and further in view of Randle to teach the above. The motivation to

Art Unit: 3624

combine is to teach a bank-centric service platform that enables cusatomers to utilize advanced technology in accessing financial services particular to the individualized customer needs as enunciated by Randle(col 1 lines 51-col 2 line 2).Horowitz teaches an automated interactive and proactive system for customized and personalized presentation of products of a financial institution in response to a request by a client(Abstract)(Fig 1-37)(col 1 line 65-col 3 line 40).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark in view of Randle and further in view of Horowitz to teach the invention. The motivation to combine is to teach an antomated customization and personalized product service presentation system that utilizes intelligent engines that self learn and couple customer business needs with a financial institution's broadest set of products and services as enunciated by Horowitz(col 2 lines 5-11).

7. (AMENDED)As per claims 2,3,36,38,43,47,49,51-315 Tobin teaches a system for automatically preparing customized communications each of a plurality of clients where the communications present client response options including non purchase options(Abstract)(Fig 7/114)(col 8 lines 25-40) and replying to non purchase options from clients with customized replies where the method comprises using decision information to automatically select variable information about each client and inserting the client information into the client communication which includes client response options(Fig 7/102) and appending each client communication to a separate host communication to form a plurality of combine communications where each of the combined communications comprises a client identifying label(Fig 7/708) and where delivering

Art Unit: 3624

each combine communication to a respective one of the plurality of clients(Fig 8) and receiving a plurality of responses where each response comprises an identifying label and response option information(Fig 11C/114)(Fig 18/1800) and inputting the response option information into each of the responses in a system for generating replies(Fig 12/115) and preparing a reply to each of the responses where each reply is directed to response option information and each reply comprises an identifying label and(Fig 18) delivering the replies to the appropriate client(Fig 12/708).clients.Clark teaches providing a diversity of financial services to consumers(Abstract)(Fig 15) as requested by the customer(Fig 16) as well as individualized selections(Fig 17)(Fig 18) as well as customized content in selections(Fig 19) as well as the user selecting criteria(Fig 27) and customized reports to clients(Fig 28).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark to teach part of the above. The motivation to combine is to teach an electronic delivery system that provides a customer access to a variety of financial services as enunciated by Clark(col 2 lines 36-39).

Randle teaches a banking services platform that enables a financial services institution to provide new services, as access to brokerage investment and information, commercial banking and loan reviews and applications and selections as well as other financial products from other institutions(col 4 lines 38-58) as well as the ability of the financial institution to create customized and unique products particular to the institution available as products to the customers of the institution(col 5 lines 32-38).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark and further in view of Randle to

Art Unit: 3624

teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a bank-centric service platform that enables cusatomers to utilize advanced technology in accessing financial services particular to the individualized customer needs as enunciated by Randle(col 1 lines 51-col 2 line 2).Horowitz teaches an automated interactive and proactive system for customized and personalized presentation of products of a financial institution in response to a request by a client(Abstract)(Fig 1-37)(col 1 line 65-col 3 line 40).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark in view of Randle and further in view of Horowitz to teach the invention. The motivation to combine is to teach an antomated customization and personalized product service presentation system that utilizes intelligent engines that self learn and couple customer business needs with a financial institution's broadest set of products and services as enunciated by Horowitz(col 2 lines 5-11).

8. Dependent claims 4-35,37,39-42,44-46,48,50-315 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Tobin(US Pat. No:6,141,666) in view of Atkins(US Pat. No: 5,852,811)in view of Clark(US Pat. No:5,710,889) in view of Randle(US Pat. No: 5,787,403) and further in view of Horowitz(US Pat. No. 6,349,290).

9.(AMENDED) As per claims 4-35,37,39-42,44-46,48,50-315 Tobin teaches a method for automatically preparing a customized reply to each response communication from a plurality of clients(Abstract)(col 2 line 57-col 3 line 62)(col 5 line 1-col 6 line 19) where each response labeled to correspond to a labeled communication set to each of the plurality of clients(Fig 8)(col

Art Unit: 3624

8 line 49-54) where the method comprises receiving a plurality of responses where each response comprises a unique label to identify each response as coming from a particular client(col 9 line 52-col 10 line 7). Tobin fails to teach the method of claim 1 wherein the labeled computer communication comprises information about a financial product utilizing the internet. Atkins teaches this(Abstract)(Fig 3)(col 3 line 6-col 5 line 60)(col 6 lines 53-59)(col 20 line 24-col 23 line 51)(Table 14)(col 24 lines 13-34)(col 34 line 28-col 36 line 45)(Fig 8a/8b/8c)(col 42 line 21-col 44 line 48).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Atkins to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to provide a method to meet the wide variety of individual financial resources and attitudes toward financial investments and risk and to produce financial products tailored and customized to the current needs of individuals and be flexible to accommodate future variations in their requirements as enunciated by Atkins(col 2 lines 49-53).clients.Clark teaches providing a diversity of financial services to consumers(Abstract)(Fig 15) as requested by the customer(Fig 16) as well as individualized selections(Fig 17)(Fig 18) as well as customized content in selections(Fig 19) as well as the user selecting criteria(Fig 27) and customized reports to clients(Fig 28).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark to teach part of the above. The motivation to combine is to teach an electronic delivery system that provides a customer access to a variety of financial services as enunciated by Clark(col 2 lines 36-39). Randle teaches a banking services platform that enables a financial services institution to provide new services, as access to brokerage investment and information,

Art Unit: 3624

commercial banking and loan reviews and applications and selections as well as other financial products from other institutions(col 4 lines 38-58) as well as the ability of the financial institution to create customized and unique products particular to the institution available as products to the customers of the institution(col 5 lines 32-38).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark and further in view of Randle to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a bank-centric service platform that enables cusatomers to utilize advanced technology in accessing financial services particular to the individualized customer needs as enunciated by Randle(col 1 lines 51-col 2 line 2).Horowitz teaches an automated interactive and proactive system for customized and personalized presentation of products of a financial institution in response to a request by a client(Abstract)(Fig 1-37)(col 1 line 65-col 3 line 40).It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Tobin in view of Clark in view of Randleand further in view of Horowitz to tasvche the invention. The motivation to combine is to teach an antomated customization and personalized product service presentation system that utilizes intelligent engines that self learn and couple customer business needs with a financial institution's broadest set of products and services as enunciated by Horowitz(col 2 lines 5-11).

Double Patenting

9. Claims 1-315 are further rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claim of U. S. Patent No. 5,987,434 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 3624

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: US Pat. No: 5,987,434 contain substantially similar claims and subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10. Claims 51-315 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, these claims add no new patentable weight material to those already cited in the original claims. They are primarily descriptive in nature.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-21, 23-31, 33-315 have been considered but are moot in view of the necessitated additional (new) ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 2100 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-6296 or 6306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

GRA

July 15, 2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E.
PRIMARY EXAMINER